

other than through designated points of entry. The order also prohibited such sale or supply to the National Union for the Total Independence of Angola ("UNITA"). United States persons are prohibited from activities that promote or are calculated to promote such sales or supplies, or from attempted violations, or from evasion or avoidance or transactions that have the purpose of evasion or avoidance, of the stated prohibitions. The order authorized the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to take such actions, including the promulgation of rules and regulations, as might be necessary to carry out the purposes of the order.

1. On December 10, 1993, the Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("FAC") issued the UNITA (Angola) Sanctions Regulations (the "Regulations") (58 *Fed. Reg.* 64904) to implement the President's declaration of a national emergency and imposition of sanctions against Angola (UNITA). There have been no amendments to the Regulations since my report of September 18, 1995.

The Regulations prohibit the sale or supply by United States persons or from the United States, or using U.S.-registered vessels or aircraft, of arms and related materiel of all types, including weapons and ammunition, military vehicles, equipment and spare parts, and petroleum and petroleum products to UNITA or to the territory of Angola other than through designated points. United States persons are also prohibited from activities that promote or are calculated to promote such sales or supplies to UNITA or Angola, or from any transaction by any United States persons that evades or avoids, or has the purpose of evading or avoiding, or attempts to violate, any of the prohibitions set forth in the Executive order. Also prohibited are transactions by United States persons, or involving the use of U.S.-registered vessels or aircraft, relating to transportation to Angola or UNITA of goods the exportation of which is prohibited.

The Government of Angola has designated the following points of entry as points in Angola to which the articles otherwise prohibited by the Regulations may be shipped: *Airports*: Luanda and Katumbela, Benguela Province; *Ports*: Luanda and Lobito, Benguela Province; and *Namibe*, Namibe Province; and *Entry Points*: Malongo, Cabinda Province. Although no specific license is required by the Department of the Treasury for shipments to these designated points of entry (unless the item is destined for UNITA), any such exports remain subject to the licensing requirements of the Departments of State and/or Commerce.

2. The FAC has worked closely with the U.S. financial community to assure a heightened awareness of the sanctions against UNITA—through the dissemination of publications, seminars, and notices to electronic bulletin boards. This educational effort has re-

sulted in frequent calls from banks to assure that they are not routing funds in violation of these prohibitions. United States exporters have also been notified of the sanctions through a variety of media, including special fliers and computer bulletin board information initiated by FAC and posted through the U.S. Department of Commerce and the U.S. Government Printing Office. There have been no license applications under the program.

3. The expenses incurred by the Federal Government in the 6-month period from September 18, 1995, through March 25, 1996, that are directly attributable to the exercise of powers and authorities conferred by the declaration of a national emergency with respect to Angola (UNITA) are reported to be about \$226,000, most of which represents wage and salary costs for Federal personnel. Personnel costs were largely centered in the Department of the Treasury (particularly in the Office of Foreign Assets Control, the U.S. Customs Service, the Office of the Under Secretary for Enforcement, and the Office of the General Counsel) and the Department of State (particularly the Office of Southern African Affairs).

I will continue to report periodically to the Congress on significant developments, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1703(c).

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, March 25, 1996.

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT

Under the authority of the order of the Senate of January 4, 1995, the Secretary of the Senate, on March 22, 1996, during the adjournment of the Senate, received a message from the House of Representatives announcing that the House disagrees to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3019) making appropriations for fiscal year 1996 to make a further downpayment toward a balanced budget, and for other purposes, and agrees to the conference asked by the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon; and appoints the following Members as the managers of the conference on the part of the House:

For consideration of the House bill (except for section 101(c)) and the Senate amendment (except for section 101(d)), and modifications committed to conference: Mr. LIVINGSTON, Mr. MYERS of Indiana, Mr. YOUNG of Florida, Mr. REGULA, Mr. LEWIS of California, Mr. PORTER, Mr. ROGERS, Mr. SKEEN, Mr. WOLF, Mrs. VUCANOVICH, Mr. LIGHTFOOT, Mr. CALLAHAN, Mr. WALSH, Mr. OBEY, Mr. YATES, Mr. STOKES, Mr. BEVILL, Mr. MURTHA, Mr. WILSON, Mr. DIXON, Mr. HEFNER, and Mr. MOLLOHAN.

For consideration of section 101(c) of the House bill, and section 101(d) of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. PORTER, Mr. YOUNG of Florida, Mr. BONILLA, Mr. ISTOOK, Mr. MILLER of Florida, Mr. DICKEY, Mr. RIGGS, Mr. WICKER, Mr.

LIVINGSTON, Mr. OBEY, Mr. STOKES, Mr. HOYER, Ms. PELOSI, and Mrs. LOWEY.

ENROLLED JOINT RESOLUTIONS SIGNED

The message also announced that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled joint resolutions:

H.J. Res. 165. Joint resolution making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1996, and for other purposes.

S.J. Res. 38. Joint resolution granting the consent of Congress to the Vermont-New Hampshire Interstate Public Water Supply Compact.

Under the authority of the order of the Senate of January 4, 1995, the enrolled joint resolutions were signed subsequently on March 22, 1996, during the adjournment of the Senate, by the President pro tempore [Mr. THURMOND].

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

At 10:02 a.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Ms. Goetz, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the House:

H.R. 2969. An act to eliminate the Board of Tea Experts by repealing the Tea Importation Act of 1897.

The message also announced that the House agrees to the resolution (H. Res. 387) returning to the Senate the bill (S. 1518) to eliminate the Board of Tea Experts by prohibiting funding for the Board and by repealing the Tea Importation Act of 1987, in the opinion of this House, contravenes the first clause of the seventh section of the first article of the Constitution of the United States and is an infringement of the privileges of this House and that such bill be respectfully returned to the Senate.

At 1:46 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Ms. Goetz, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 125. An act to repeal the ban on semi-automatic assault weapons and the ban on large capacity ammunition feeding devices.

MEASURES REFERRED

The following bill was read the first and second times by unanimous consent and referred as indicated:

H.R. 125. An act to repeal the ban on semi-automatic assault weapons and the ban on large capacity ammunition feeding devices; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEE

The following report of committee was submitted:

By Mr. HATFIELD, from the Committee on Appropriations:

Special Report entitled "Revised Allocation to Subcommittees of Budget Totals from the Concurrent Resolution for Fiscal Year 1996" (Rept. No. 104-243).

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second time by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. BRADLEY: S. 1640. A bill to prohibit the possession and transfer of non-sporting handguns, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GRAMS (for himself and Mr. PRESSLER): S. 1641. A bill to repeal the consent of Congress to the Northeast Interstate Dairy Compact, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. BRADLEY:

S. 1640. A bill to prohibit the possession and transfer of nonsporting handguns, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

THE DOMESTIC SATURDAY NIGHT SPECIAL ACT OF 1996

Mr. BRADLEY. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce a measure designed to ban the sale and possession of domestic Saturday night specials, which are inexpensive, short-barreled—4" or shorter, easily concealed handguns that are made from inferior materials and lack any sporting purpose. These handguns have flooded the black market and are disproportionately used in violent criminal activity. These weapons are poorly made, unreliable and, in some cases, unsafe. They are cheap to build, cheap to purchase, and are roughly 3.4 times more likely to be involved in violent crimes than are handguns from other major manufacturers. Their destructive impact on the lives of American citizens must be stopped.

Mr. President, in the aftermath of the assassinations of Robert F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King, Jr., Congress passed the Gun Control Act of 1968, which targeted small caliber, easily concealable, and poorly made imported handguns named Saturday night specials. It was Congress' intent to eliminate imported guns which were believed to be disproportionately involved in crime. Specifically, the legislation banned the importation of handguns not particularly suitable for or readily adaptable to sporting purposes. Congress, however, exempted domestic manufacturers from the legislation, resulting in the creation of a protected domestic industry that produces and markets small, poorly made, easily concealable handguns.

Today, Mr. President, six handgun manufacturers in southern California dominate the production of Saturday night specials. In 1992, they made over 80 percent of the .25 ACP, .32 ACP, and .380 ACP pistols manufactured in this country. Indeed, in 1992 these companies manufactured 685,934 handguns, or 34 percent of all handguns made in the United States. According to 1993 figures, one of the Saturday night special

manufacturers, Lorcin Engineering Inc., is the leading pistol manufacturer in America.

In 1968, "the American Rifleman"—a publication of the National Rifle Association, in arguing in favor of a ban on Saturday night special imports, noted that such weapons were "miserably made, potentially defective arms that contribute so much to rising violence." This statement is equally applicable today to domestically manufactured Saturday night specials.

The carnage and killing that occur in our Nation's towns and cities are directly related to the proliferation of these weapons of destruction on the streets of America. According to a Wall Street Journal investigation, these pistols are purchased in bulk at retail by illegal dealers and smuggled by bus or train to urban centers for resale on the street.

Once they reach the streets, domestic Saturday night specials, which sell for as low as \$35, are the starter guns of choice for criminals and the very young. For example, in 1990, a 5-year-old from the Bronx, NY, carried in his pocket a loaded domestic Saturday night special to kindergarten. In 1992, a 15-year-old aimed a domestic Saturday night special from the roof of a New York apartment building and shot a policeman in the ankle.

Mr. President, these guns are disproportionately used in robberies and murders. From 1990 to 1992, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms [ATF] traced approximately 24,000 handguns sold after 1986 and used in murders and other crimes. Saturday night specials produced by three southern California companies accounted for 27 percent of the traces, as compared to 11 percent for the much larger Smith and Wesson Company. According to the Wall Street Journal, police in Houston confiscated nearly 1,000 guns used in crimes in 1991. Three Saturday night specials produced by southern California companies—the Raven .25 ACP, the Davis .380 ACP, and the Davis .32 ACP—ranked as the top three guns confiscated. The same year in Cleveland, police confiscated more than 2,000 handguns; the Raven .25 ACP ranked second.

The Washington Post reported in June 1994 that of all 21,744 guns seized at crime scenes and traced by ATF during 1991 through 1993, an astounding 62 percent—or 13,559 handguns—were produced by a southern California manufacturer of Saturday night specials. ABC television's "Day One" reported that in 1994, the Lorcin .380 ACP was the single firearm most frequently submitted to ATF for tracing. Thus, there is no question that these weapons are the weapons of choice of criminals.

Of significant concern is also the threat that these guns pose to law enforcement. The single gun with the greatest number of police homicides per number of guns in circulation is the .32 caliber pistol. As of 1992, nearly 90 percent of these guns were manufac-

tured by the southern California gun makers. Mr. President, for the sake of the American public and the law enforcement community, it is time that Congress take action to get these killing machines off the streets of America.

Mr. President, under the 1968 Gun Control Act, ATF has developed an elaborate scheme to determine whether foreign firearms should be classified as Saturday night specials. To gain entry into the U.S. market, imported guns must meet minimum size and safety specifications and pass a battery of individual design, performance, and materials standards. The ATF classification scheme considers the quality of the metal used to construct the weapon, as well as the combined height and length, weight, caliber, safety features, and accessory features of the weapon. By the mid-1970's, ATF estimated that over half of all of the handguns produced domestically could not legally have been imported.

Domestic Saturday night specials are cheaply made and unreliable. Large domestic handgun manufacturers—such as Smith and Wesson—produce small quantities of guns because their production process is labor intensive. On average, these guns retail for \$600. By contrast, the Saturday night specials are assembled in mere minutes using cheap materials, yielding high volumes that sell for as little as \$35 per gun. The results are predictable. For example, the zinc alloy used in many of the Saturday night specials is so soft that it can be shaved with a knife. Moreover, the alloy begins to distort at 700 degrees Fahrenheit, compared to 2,400 degrees for the stainless steel in quality guns.

In addition, while the Saturday night specials typically have minimal safety devices that block the trigger from being pulled, they lack safety equipment found on higher quality guns, such as firing pin blocks that help prevent accidental discharge. Indeed, officials at ATF have indicated that the Raven .25 ACP pistol produced by one of the southern California companies can discharge if it is loaded and dropped to the floor, thereby failing ATF's drop test. The quality and reliability of domestic Saturday night specials is so atrocious that Edward Owen, Jr., Chief of the Firearms Technology Branch at ATF, has stated: "If someone gave me one as a gift, I would throw it away."

The unreliability of these guns, Mr. President, highlights the fact that they have no sporting purpose and cannot be depended on for self-defense. This fact was illustrated in a May 1994 segment of ABC television's "Day One". A Colorado Springs gun shop owner is firing one of the domestic Saturday night specials when it jams. As she attempts to clear the weapon, the correspondent asks her what would happen at that moment if she was relying on the gun for protection. She answers, "Well, I just got killed."